



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,600 10/25/2001		Christopher M. Hopkins	PA-0042 US	2926	
27904	7590	7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
INCYTE C	ORPOR	ATION	STRZELECKA, TERESA E		
3160 PORTER DRIVE PALO ALTO, CA 94304				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1637	
				DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v
D
7
Un

Application No. Applicant(s) HOPKINS ET AL. 10/002,600 Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Teresa E Strzelecka 1637 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	•

Status	
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>December 29, 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	on of Claims
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-9 and 13-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ion Papers
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	t(s)
1) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/002,600

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-4 and 10-12, SEQ ID NO: 50) in Paper No. 29122004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Applicants should be allowed to select up to ten sequences. Applicants arguments were found persuasive and claims 1-4 and 10-12 will be considered with respect to the ten sequences chosen by Applicants: SEQ ID NO: 3, 7, 19, 20, 21, 24, 30, 31, 46 and 50.
- 2. Claims 5-9 and 13-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 29122004.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Interpretation

- 4. The limitations of claims 1-3 of the cDNAs being differentially expressed in activated T cells are not taken into account when comparing the sequences with prior art, since these limitations do not pose additional structural limitations on cDNA sequences.
- 5. In claim 1, the limitation "a combination comprising a plurality of cDNAs that ... are selected from SEQ ID NO: 1-116" is interpreted as a combination which can contain sequences other than the ones selected from SEQ ID NO: 1-116.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,600

Art Unit: 1637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lasek et al. (US 2003/0175704 A1).

The applied reference has a common assignce with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claims 1, 3, and 10, Lasek et al. teach a nucleic acid sequence with SEQ ID NO: 15, which is 100 % identical to SEQ ID NO: 46 (see sequence alignment). Lasek et al. teach a combination of cDNA sequences with SEQ ID NO: 1-56 (page 1, [0008]). Therefore, Lasek et al. teach a combination of cDNA sequences comprising one sequence which is identical to SEQ ID NO: 46.

Regarding claim 4, Lasek et al. teach cDNAs immobilized on a substrate (page 5, [0053]).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Lasek et al. teach cDNAs cloned into a vector and transformed into a host cell (page 6, [0070]).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,600

Art Unit: 1637

8. No references were found teaching or suggesting claim 2 with respect to elected SEQ ID NO: 3, 7, 19, 20, 21, 24, 30, 31, 46 and 50. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E Strzelecka whose telephone number is (571) 272-0789. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TS April 28, 2004